

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

a/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,525	11/24/2003	Hiroharu Inoue	P24616	1953
7055 7590 10/11/2007 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			EXAMINER	
			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN	
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1794	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,525	INOUE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alicia Chevalier	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/09	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>7/09/07</u> .					
,	, —					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>2-18,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/718,525

Art Unit: 1772

#### RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Claims 1-22 are pending in the application, claims 1, 19 and 20 are withdrawn from consideration.

#### **WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS**

2. The 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, rejections of claims 2-18, 21 and 22, made of record in the office action mailed March 9, 2007, pages 2-3, paragraph #5 have been withdrawn due to Applicant's arguments in the response filed July 9, 2007.

#### **REJECTIONS**

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 2-18, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,835,241) in view of Yeager et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,352,782).

Tsuchida discloses a laminate sheet comprising a polyphenylene ether sheet (col. 6, line 20) and a copper foil (title). The foil has a surface roughness of 2 µm or less (col. 3, lines 13-15) and the surface thereof facing the sheet with zinc or a zinc alloy (col. 6, lines 3-5) and at the same time coupled with a silane coupling agent having a vinyl group (col. 5, lines 14-16).

Tsuchida fails to disclose the claims polyphenylene ether composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,525 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

Yeager teaches the claimed meta or para polyphenylene ether composition (summary of the invention).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Yeager's polyphenylene composition as Tsuchida's composition in order to insulation proporites and make the composition fire restraint (*Yeager, abstract*). Furthermore, it has been held that finding the members of a class of prior art polymers which are best suited for a particular propose is not patentable invention. *Exparte Fauser* (POBA 1953) 128

USPQ 156. Given the board range of polymers encompassed by meta or para polyphenylene it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to fin the best orientation of polyphenylene to use in the prepreg.

The limitation "piling a prepreg" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113.

## ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments in the response filed July 9, 2007 regarding the previous rejections of record have been considered but are most since the rejections have been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1772

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac 10/1/07

ALICIA CHEVALIER
POMARY EXAMINER